

REMARKS

I. Drawings

In the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to the drawings. The Examiner contends that Figure 1 should be marked with a legend such as -Prior Art-. The Examiner further contends that the "image receiver" and the "input key signal generator" both have been mislabeled. Applicant has amended the drawings as shown in red on the attached sheet of drawings. Formal inked drawings incorporating these changes will be submitted upon allowance of the patent application.

II. 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

In the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-7 and 9 as allegedly being unpatentable over Feierbach, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0080231 in view of Oh, U.S. Patent 5,629,722. The Examiner has rejected Claim 8 as allegedly being unpatentable over Oh, U.S. Patent 5,629,722 in view of Feierbach, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0080231.

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's conclusion. Applicant claims a wired keyboard for a computer. The wired keyboard has a keyboard wired to the computer and having a plurality of input keys. A web camera is built into the keyboard and is removably coupled thereto. The keyboard has built-in circuitry to control the data transferred from the keyboard to the computer. The circuitry has a first input part. The first input

part is used for producing a code corresponding to an input key selected by a user, generating input key data corresponding to the code, and transmitting the input key data. The circuitry also has a second input part. The second input part is used for receiving image data, converting the image data into a digital image signal, and transmitting the digital image signal. The circuitry has an integrated processing part for receiving data, wherein the data comprise at least one selected from a group consisting of the input key data and the converted image data, assigning a predetermined identification code to the data, and converting the identification-coded data into a predetermined data format.

In contrast, Feierbach discloses a portable computer and not a keyboard. The camera in Feierbach is not removably coupled to the keyboard but is built into the portable computer. Furthermore, Feierbach does not disclose the circuitry for coordinating the transfer of different data from the keyboard to the computer. The circuitry of Applicant's claimed invention is unique since different types of data (textual and video) are being transmitted from the keyboard.

The Examiner contends that Feierbach discloses the circuitry. Applicant has read through Feierbach. Nowhere is it disclosed or anticipated to have control circuitry built into the keyboard which can control textual and visual data. Feierbach neither discloses nor anticipates using an integrated processing part for receiving data, wherein the data comprise at least one selected from a group consisting of the input key data and the

converted image data, assigning a predetermined identification code to the data, and converting the identification-coded data into a predetermined data format. The Examiner contends that Feierbach discloses the above in ¶ 34 and 38. Applicant has read through Feierbach. Nowhere is it disclosed or anticipated to have control circuitry built into the keyboard which can control textual and visual data and more specifically, no where in Feierbach is an integrated processing part disclosed. Paragraph 34 discloses a camera 15 and that the camera produces an image on the screen of the portable computer. Paragraph 38 discloses how multiple computers can be networked together. Applicant respectfully submits the amendments to the Claims effectively traverses the Examiner's rejection of the Claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Such action is earnestly solicited.

III. Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submit that Applicant's claimed invention is deserving of patent protection because it describes a useful and functioning apparatus which is patentably distinguishable over the prior art.

In conclusion, Applicants respectfully submit that this Amendment Letter, including the amendments to the Claims, and in view of the Remarks offered in conjunction therewith, are fully responsive to all aspects of the objections and rejections tendered by the Examiner in the Office Action. Applicants respectfully submit that he has persuasively demonstrated that the above-

identified Patent Application, including Claims 1-9 are in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited.

If the foregoing does not place the case in condition for immediate allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned for purposes of a telephone interview.

If there are any fees incurred by this Amendment Letter, please deduct them from our Deposit Account NO. 23-0830.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey D. Moy
Reg. No. 39,307
Attorney for Applicants

Weiss, Moy & Harris, P.C.
4204 N. Brown Ave.
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
(480) 994-8888 (Phone)
(480) 947-2663 (Fax)

JDM/wp